

Geometric morphometric analyses of the enamel-dentin junction shape in human lower first molars

Fabian Hilti

August 6, 2007



Contents

1	Introduction	5
1.1	The significance of teeth for evolutionary studies.	5
1.2	Development and genes.	6
1.3	Comparative odontometry.	9
2	Materials and Methods	15
2.1	Sample description.	15
2.2	Data acquisition.	17
2.3	Data processing.	18
2.4	Sampling of discrete dental traits	18
2.5	Classical measurements.	20
2.6	Sampling of landmark data	21
2.7	Euclidean distance matrix analysis.	23
2.8	Principal component analysis of shape.	24
3	Results	27
3.1	Validation: Landmark setting measurement error test	27
3.2	Differences in crown traits between population groups.	29
3.2.1	Variation in the number of cusps among population groups.	29
3.2.2	The frequency of cusp 6 (tuberculum sextum, entoconulid).	31
3.2.3	The frequency of cusp 7 (tuberculum intermedium, metaconulid)	32
3.2.4	The frequency of occurrence of the mid-trigonid crest	33
3.3	Tooth dimensions.	35
3.3.1	Sex differences in the centroid size of the landmark configuration	36
3.3.2	Population differences in the centroid size of the landmark configuration	37

3.3.3 Allometry: Dependence of principal components on the centroid size of the LM configuration.	38
3.4 Analyses of shape.	40
3.4.1 EDMA: Form difference matrices among major population groups	40
3.4.2 Principal component analysis of shape.	42
3.4.3 Discriminant analysis.	55
3.4.4 Cluster analysis.	60
4 Discussion	61
4.1 Crown traits, reflected in the EDJ, expressing population affiliations.	62
4.1.1 Cusp 6, a witness of Asian-American affinity.	62
4.1.2 Cusp 7, mainly a marker for African origin.	64
4.1.3 The mid-trigonid crest, mostly a Neanderthal feature.	64
4.2 Occlusal surface, crown diameter and volume relationship.	66
4.3 Centroid size, a group differentiation criterion.	66
4.4 Shape differences among population group.	67
4.4.1 The loss of the hypoconulid as the strongest influence.	67
4.4.2 Y to +/X pattern like arrangements, reflections of a well known crown trait	68
4.4.3 Possibly derived cuspal arrangements in Sinodonts.	69
4.5 Allometry.	70
4.6 Arctic Americans emerge as most distinct in discriminant analyses.	71
4.7 Few discrepancies from expected relationships in a cluster analysis.	72
5 Conclusion and Outlook	73
6 Appendix	75
7 Literature cited	82
8 Acknowledgements	88