

Post-occupancy evaluation of library buildings

**Edited on behalf of IFLA by
Karen Latimer and Dorothea Sommer**

**DE GRUYTER
SAUR**

Contents

About IFLA VII

Karen Latimer

Introduction 1

Approaches to Post-Occupancy Evaluation of Libraries

Charles Forrest and Sharon L. Bostick

- 1 Welcoming, flexible, and state of the art
Approaches to Continuous Facility Management — 9

Anette Franzkowiak

- 2 Working with focus groups as a part of a post-occupancy evaluation (POE)
at the German National Library of Science and Technology / University
Library Hannover (TIB/UB) — 28

Merce Millán, Ester Omella and Assun Viladrich

- 3 How to improve existing library buildings after evaluation — 51

Architects on Library POEs

Santi Romero

- 4 Post-occupancy evaluation of ten public libraries in Barcelona Province
When architectural advice ends up being of real value 83

Koli Chen

- 5 A Review of post-occupancy evaluation of libraries in Taiwan from
the perspective of the architecture profession — 98

Jeffrey A. Scherer

- 6 Post-occupancy evaluation: Fayetteville Public Library 105

POE Case Studies: Different Time Frames

Dorothea Sommer

- 7 The Law Library of Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg, Germany revisited—129**

Klaus Ulrich Werner

- 8 The "Berlin Brain"
Evaluating an architectural masterpiece of 2005 based on a library concept from the last millennium 147**

Karen Latimer

- 9 The McClay Library at Queen's University Belfast
Evaluation five years on 161**

Kaisa Sinikara and Anna-Maija Lukkari

- 10 A case study on a post-occupancy evaluation of the new Helsinki University Main Library—175**

Appendix: Post-Occupancy Evaluation Questionnaire in English, French, German, and Spanish

Questionnaire about library buildings: characteristics – operation – evaluation —195

Questionnaire sur les batiments de bibliotheques: caracteristiques – fonctionnement – evaluation — 207

Fragenkatalog zu Bibliotheksgebauten: Kennzeichen – Betrieb – Evaluation — 220

Cuestionario sobre edificios de biblioteca: caracteristicas – uso – evaluacion — 233

Contributors 246