

# **Tax Treatment of Interest for Corporations**

**Edited by  
Otto Marres and  
Dennis Weber**

# Table of Contents

<b>Preface</b>	v
<b>Chapter 1: Introduction</b>	1
<i>Otto Marres and Roel Monteiro</i>	
<b>Chapter 2: The Debt/Equity Distinction in Corporate Taxation: Does It Work, Does It Matter?</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Henk Vording</i>	
2.1. Introduction	5
2.2. Equity and debt: Unequal tax treatment	5
2.3. The problem: Economic distortions or outward tax " planning?	7
2.3.1. Economic distortions?	7
2.3.2. Outward tax planning?	10
2.3.3. Empirical findings	12
2.3.4. Welfare effects?	15
2.3.5. Conclusion: Modest distortions, but potentially big political issues	17
2.4. Possible solutions	17
2.4.1. Tax treatment of intra-group lending and borrowing	18
2.4.2. Clarify the concepts?	18
2.4.3. Change the tax treatment of debt and/or equity?	20
2.5. Conclusion	22
<b>Chapter 3: The Debt-Equity Conundrum – A Prequel</b>	<b>23</b>
<i>Peter H. Blessing</i>	
3.1. Background	23
3.1.1. In general	23
3.1.2. Current impetus for review	24
3.2. Overview of issuer and holder income tax consequences of debt versus equity and the consequent debt bias	25
3.2.1. Consequences at the issuer level for domestic payments	25
3.2.2. Consequences at the holder level for domestic payments	26
3.2.3. Differences where payments are cross border	27

## Table of contents

3.2.4.	Occasional issuer preference for equity treatment	29
3.3.	Commercial setting of debt-equity distinctions	29
3.3.1.	Capital structure of corporation	30
3.3.1.1.	General considerations and sensitivity to WACC	30
3.3.1.2.	Leveraged acquisitions	30
3.3.2.	Erosion of distinctions in legal rights in the financial marketplace	31
3.3.3.	External and internal sources of funding	32
3.3.4.	Alternative financing transactions	32
3.3.5.	Debt-equity distinction in a bankruptcy context	33
3.4.	Effect of various aspects of tax system on form of capitalization	33
3.4.1.	Classification of debt and equity	33
3.4.1.1.	General approaches under domestic law	34
3.4.1.2.	Instruments with hybrid characteristics	35
3.4.1.3.	Tax administrative actions to reclassify instruments	36
3.4.2.	Cross-border hybridity	36
3.4.2.1.	In general	37
3.4.2.2.	Instruments, vehicles and transactions designed to have a different classification internationally	38
3.4.3.	External tax-favoured sources of funding	39
3.4.4.	Alternative pass-through entities	39
3.4.5.	Other	40
3.5.	Evidence and trends regarding tax bias towards use of debt	40
3.5.1.	Empirical evidence	40
3.5.1.1.	After-tax cost of capital by region	40
3.5.1.2.	Correlation of CIT and leverage	41
3.5.2.	Trends	42
3.5.3.	Concern regarding possible negative aspects of debt bias in light of trends	43
3.6.	Historical and legal basis of debt-equity distinction	43
3.7.	Economic and corporate finance perspectives on debt-equity	47
3.7.1.	Contrast from legal perspective	47
3.7.2.	Application of economic principles to legal analysis	48
3.7.3.	Possible economic explanations to justify tax law debt bias	49

3.8.	Tax policy considerations relating to*debt-equity distinction in cross-border financings	50
3.9.	Possible government responses to the interest deductibility issue within a bimodal debt-equity framework	53
3.9.1.	Introductory remarks	53
3.9.2.	Thin capitalization rules (reclassification and/or earnings stripping rules)	54
3.9.3.	Defining debt deductibility by reference to finance theory	56
3.10.	Possible government responses to the interest deductibility issue that depart from the bimodal framework	57
3.10.1.	Introductory remarks	57
3.10.2.	No deduction for debt or equity capital	57
3.10.3.	No deduction for dividends or interest but allowance for cost of capital	58
3.10.4.	Deduction for interest but not dividends and allowance for equity capital	59
3.10.5.	Deduction for dividends and interest	60
3.11.	Conclusions	61
<b>Chapter 4: Interest Costs Allocation: A Business View</b>		<b>63</b>
<i>Theo Keijzer</i>		
4.1.	Introduction	63
4.2.	Why is interest deduction important?	64
4.3.	What are the solutions?	65
4.4.	Conclusions	67
<b>Chapter 5: Notional Interest Deduction</b>		<b>69</b>
<i>Bernard Peeters and Thomas Hermie</i>		
5.1.	Introduction	69
5.2.	Objectives	70
5.2.1.	Lowering the corporate income tax rate and strengthen the competitiveness of Belgium	70
5.2.2.	Enhance and increase the equity of Belgian companies	73
5.2.3.	Keep the residual coordination centres in Belgium	75
5.3.	The law	76
5.4.	The European dimension	78
5.5.	Economic impact	81
5.6.	Cost	82

Table of contents

5.7. Abuse	84
5.8. Future	88
Annex: Belgian Income Tax Code provisions dealing with theNID	89
<b>Chapter 6: The Concept of Interest in Tax Treaties</b>	<b>93</b>
<i>Hans Pijl</i>	
6.1. Introduction	93
6.2. Interest in the OECD Draft and Model Conventions	96
6.2.1. The 1963 interest definition	96
6.2.2. The 1977 interest definition	97
6.2.3. Hybrid debts	99
6.3. Interest in the European Directives	102
6.4. Islamic finance and the OECD Model	104
6.5. Carried interest	110
6.6. Conclusion	114
<b>Chapter 7: Thin Capitalization in Brazil</b>	<b>115</b>
<i>Paulo Roberto Coimbra Silva</i>	
7.1. Introduction	115
7.2. What is thin capitalization?	115
7.3. The different approaches to thin capitalization by countries: Different methods and techniques for dealing with the topic	117
7.4. The current Brazilian scenario	118
7.5. Thin capitalization rules in Brazil	122
<b>Chapter 8: Earnings Stripping</b>	<b>125</b>
<i>Stephan Eilers</i>	
8.1. Development of anti-earnings stripping rules in Germany	125
8.2. Interest limitation ( <i>Zinsschranke</i> )	126
8.2.1. Overview	127
8.2.2. Exceptions to the limitation on the tax deductibility of interest	128
8.2.2.1. Exempt threshold of EUR 3 million	128
8.2.2.2. Companies not affiliated with a company group (stand-alone-clause)	128

8.2.2.3.	Proof of adequate equity capital ratio (escape clause)	130
8.2.3.	Special hurdles for shareholder-financed corporations	133
8.2.3.1.	Corporations that are not affiliated with a group	133
- 8.2.3.2.	Corporations that are affiliated with a group	134
8.2.4.	Interest limitation test	135
8.3.	Practical questions	136
8.3.1.	Escape clause (section 4h(1)(c) ITA; section 8a(3) CTA)	136
8.3.2.	Deduction of book value of shares (section 4h(2) 1c) sent. 5 ITA)	136
8.3.3.	EBITDA carry-forward	136
8.3.4.	IFRS	137
8.4.	Evaluation of the interest limitation	137
8.5.	What to do about it?	138
<b>Chapter 9: Regime on Danish Interest Deductions</b>		<b>141</b>
<i>Nikolaj Bjflrnholm</i>		
9.1.	Regime on Danish interest deductions "	141
9.1.1.	Thin capitalization regime	141
9.1.1.1.	Controlled debt (Condition 1)	143
9.1.1.2.	Debt threshold (Condition 2)	144
9.1.1.3.	Debt-to-equity ratio (Condition 3)	144
9.1.1.4.	No matching debt available (Condition 4)	145
9.1.2.	Limitation on financial expenses regime (asset and EBIT limitation rules)	145
9.1.2.1.	The asset limitation rule	147
9.1.2.2.	The EBIT limitation rule	149
<b>Chapter 10: The Case for Withholding Tax on a Net Basis within the EU</b>		<b>151</b>
<i>Robert van der Jagt</i>		
10.1.	Introduction	151
10.2.	Taxation on a net basis – Background information and underlying problem	152

## Table of contents

10.3. Differences in the tax treatment of residents and non-residents	154
10.3.1. Difference in treatment with respect to the nature of the tax burden	154
10.3.2. Difference in treatment with respect to the tax rate	155
10.3.3. Difference in treatment with respect to the applicable tax base	155
10.4. Infringement of fundamental freedoms	156
10.4.1. The case of a recipient company that offsets only a portion of its tax credits	158
10.4.2. The case of a recipient company in a loss situation	161
10.5. Potential obstacles to taxation on a net basis	164
10.6. Taxpayers resident in non-EU Member States (third countries)	165
10.7. Summary and conclusion	167

## **Chapter 11: Corporate Tax Treatment of Interest: EU State Aid and the EU Code of Conduct Combating Harmful Tax Competition**

*Vinod Kalloe*

169

11.1. EU harmful tax competition and the corporate tax treatment of interest	169
11.1.1. EU Code of Conduct for business taxation	169
11.1.2. EU State aid provisions	173
11.1.3. Interaction of EU Code of Conduct and EU State aid proceedings	175
11.2. Case law on corporate tax treatment of interest	176
11.2.1. Generic corporate tax measures	177
11.2.2. Group coordination or holding regimes	182
11.2.3. Tax measures specifically related to (group) interest	188
11.2.4. Financing conduit companies	193
11.2.5. Financing branches	196
11.2.6. Informal capital: Notional interest deduction	197
11.2.7. Hybrid financing arrangements	199
11.3. Conclusion	202

<b>Chapter 12: Thin Capitalization Rules: The ECJ Approach</b>	<b>205</b>
<i>Eric Ginter</i>	
12.1. Introduction	205
12.2. Under-capitalization and freedom of establishment	206
12.3. Limits imposed by the Court on the powers of Member States	208
<b>Chapter 13: Tax Treatment of Interest for Corporations</b>	<b>215</b>
<i>Roel Monteiro and Marieke Koerts</i>	
13.1. Introduction	215
13.2. Panel 1: Debt versus equity	215
13.2.1. Economic perspective –Different fiscal treatment of debt and equity	215
13.2.2. Interest policy	216
13.2.3. Notional interest deduction: The Belgian experience	217
13.2.4. Defiscalization of group interest	219
13.3. Panel 2: Allocation of taxing rights	222
13.3.1. Source versus residence	222
13.3.2. <i>Scheuten Solar</i> – Interest deduction rules vs the Interest and Royalty Directive	224
13.3.3. Article 9 OECD/EU Arbitration Convention	225
13.3.4. Article 11 OECD Model and the Interest and Royalty Directive	227
13.4. Panel 3: Base erosion through interest payments – National and EU approaches	229
13.4.1. Thin cap rules – Why (not)?	229
13.4.2. Earnings stripping: The German approach	230
13.4.3. Specific anti-avoidance rules in Denmark	231
13.4.4. Erosion of the CCCTB	233
13.5. Panel 4: EU law and interest payments	235
13.5.1. Withholding tax on interest payments and EU free movement	236
13.5.2. State aid and the Code of Conduct for harmful tax competition	239
13.5.3. Anti-base erosion measures and EU free movement	240
13.6. Final remarks	246