

Series on International Tax Law  
Univ.-Prof. Dr. Dr. h.c. Michael Lang (Editor)

Volume 78

*i*

# **Tax Treaty Case Law around the Globe 2012**

edited by

**Eric C.C.M. Kemmeren**

**Daniel S. Smit**

**Peter Essers**

**Luc De Broe**

**Frans Vanistendael**

**Michael Lang**

**Pasquale Pistone**

**Josef Schuch**

**Claus Staringer**

**Alfred Storck**

# **Lnde**

# Table of Contents

<b>Preface</b>	v
Session 1 Scope, Interpretation and Residence	
<b>Chapter 1: Australia: Attribution of Income</b> <i>f Graeme Cooper</i>	<b>3</b>
1.1. Introduction	3
1.2. Facts of the case	4
1.3. The Court's decision	7
1.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	7
1.5. Conclusion	9
<b>Chapter 2: Belgium: Interpretation of the Government Services Article, Delineation with the Employment Income Article</b> <i>Luc De Broe</i>	<b>11</b>
2.1. Introduction	11
2.2. Facts of the case	11
2.3. The Court's decisions	14
2.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	14
2.5. Conclusion	18
<b>Chapter 3: Belgium: Employment Agreement for Purposes of Article 15 of the OECD Model</b> <i>Luc De Broe</i>	<b>21</b>
3.1. Introduction	21
3.2. Facts of the case	21
3.3. The Court's decision	22
3.4. Comments on the Court's decision	25
3.5. Conclusion	29

**Chapter 4: South Africa: Transfer of Seat and Exit Taxation: Treaty Override?** 31  
*Craig West and Jennifer Roeleveld*

4.1.	Introduction	31
4.2.	Facts of the case	31
4.2.1.	Facts common to both courts	31
4.2.2.	Facts exclusively mentioned before the Tax Court	32
4.2.3.	Relevant domestic legislation	32
4.3.	The Courts' decisions <i>i</i>	34
4.3.1.	The Tax Court	34
4.3.1.1.	Grounds for Tradehold's appeal before the Tax Court	34
4.3.1.2.	Decision of the Tax Court	34
4.3.1.3.	Grounds for appeal by the Commissioner before the Supreme Court	35
4.3.1.4.	Decision of the Supreme Court of Appeal	36
4.4.	Comments on the Court's reasoning	37
4.4.1.	Assuming a deemed disposition date of 25/26 February 2003	37
4.4.2.	Assuming a deemed disposition date of 1/2 July 2002	38
4.4.3.	The excluded permanent establishment argument	39
4.4.4.	Ministerial reaction	39
4.4.5.	Proposed amendments	42
4.5.	Conclusion	43

**Chapter 5: Switzerland: Tax Treaty Residence and Tax Liability** 45  
*Daniel de Vries Reilingh*

5.1.	Introduction	45
5.2.	Facts of the case	45
5.3.	The Court's decision	46
5.3.1.	The Court's reasoning	46
5.3.1.1.	In general	» 46
5.3.1.2.	Territorial scope of the Switzerland-Portugal treaty	46
5.3.1.3.	Personal scope of the Switzerland-Portugal treaty	46
5.3.2.	The Court's reasoning applicable to Company X (resident of Madeira/Portugal)	48
5.4.	Comments on the Court's reasoning and conclusion	51
5.4.1.	With regard to the term "resident"	51

5.4.2.	With regard to the Switzerland-EU Savings Agreement	51
--------	---	----

Session 2  
Permanent Establishment

<b>Chapter 6:</b>	<b>Czech Republic: Service Permanent Establishment</b>	<b>55</b>
	<i>Danuse Nerudovd</i>	

6.1.	Introduction	55
6.2.	Facts of the case	55
6.3.	The Court's decision	57
6.4.	Comments on the Court's reasoning	60
6.5.	Conclusion	62

<b>Chapter 7:</b>	<b>Greece: Construction Permanent Establishment</b>	<b>63</b>
	<i>Katerina Perrou</i>	

7.1.	Introduction	63
7.2.	Facts of the case	63
7.3.	The Court's decision	64
7.4.	Comments on the Court's reasoning	66
7.5.	Conclusion	68

<b>Chapter 8:</b>	<b>Norway: Agency Permanent Establishment</b>	<b>69</b>
	<i>Frederik Zimmer</i>	

8.1.	Introduction	69
8.2.	Facts of the case	69
8.3.	The Court's reasoning	71
8.4.	Comments on the Court's reasoning	73
8.5.	Conclusion	75

Session 3  
Business Profits and Capital Gains

<b>Chapter 9:</b>	<b>France: Taxation of Partnerships: France versus the OECD</b>	<b>79</b>
	<i>Marilyne Sadowsky</i>	

9.1.	Introduction	79
9.1.1.	Concepts of "partnership"	80

## Table of Contents

9.1.2.	Issues with respect to tax treaties	81
9.1.2.1.	The problem	81
9.1.2.2.	Case law solutions	82
9.2.	Facts of the case	84
9.3.	The Court's decision	85
9.3.1.	National law	85
9.3.2.	The principle of subsidiarity	86
9.3.3.	Application to the case	86
9.3.4.	Merits of the case	87
9.4.	Comments on the Court's reasoning	88
9.4.1.	An expected clarification	88
9.4.2.	Legal and institutional requirements	88
9.4.3.	Potential impact of the Court's decision for other jurisdictions	90
9.5.	Conclusion	91
<b>Chapter 10: Germany: Interpretation of the Term "Business Income" under an Income Tax Treaty</b>		<b>93</b>
<i>Alexander Rust</i>		
10.1.	Introduction	93
10.2.	Case 1: Federal Tax Court, 4 May 2011, IIR 51/09	94
10.2.1.	Facts of the case	94
10.2.2.	The Court's decision	95
10.3.	Case 2: Federal Tax Court, 25 May 2011, 1R 95/10	96
10.3.1.	Facts of the case	96
10.3.2.	The Court's decision	98
10.4.	Comments on the Court's reasoning	99
10.5.	Conclusion	101
<b>Chapter 11: Kazakhstan: Allocation of Head Office Expenses to Permanent Establishments</b>		<b>103</b>
<i>Tomas Balco</i>		
11.1.	Introduction	103
11.2.	Facts of the cases	104
11.2.1.	<i>Halliburton</i> case	104
11.2.2.	<i>KCEDeutag</i> case	104
11.2.3.	Applicable law	105
11.3.	The Courts' decisions	106
11.3.1.	<i>Halliburton</i> case	106
11.3.2.	<i>KCA Deutag</i> case	107

11.4. Comments on the Courts'reasoning	108
11.4.1. <i>Halliburton</i> case	108
11.4.2. <i>KCA Deutag</i> case	108
11.5. Conclusion	108
Annex 1 – Relevant Provisions of the Tax Code	110
Annex 2 – Relevant Tax Treaty Provisions	112
<b>Chapter 12: Australia: Transfer Pricing</b>	<b>115</b>
<i>Graeme Cooper</i>	
12.1. Introduction	115
12.2. Facts of the case	116
12.3. The Court's decision	117
12.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	118
12.5. Conclusion	123
<b>Chapter 13: Canada: Capital Gains</b>	<b>125</b>
<i>Jacques Sasseeville</i>	
13.1. Introduction	125
13.2. Facts of the case	126
13.3. The Court's decision	129
13.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	134
13.5. Conclusion	135
<b>Chapter 14: India: Capital Gains and Tax Avoidance</b>	<b>137</b>
<i>Porus F. Kaka</i>	
14.1. Introduction	137
14.2. Facts of the case	138
14.3. The Court's decision	139
14.3.1. Tax avoidance and evasion	140
14.3.2. Holding and subsidiary structures	140
14.3.3/ Whether section 9(1)(i) of the Income Tax Act, 1961 is a look-through provision	141
14.3.4. Role and situs of the shares of CGP	142
14.3.5. Approach of the High Court vis-a-vis other rights and entitlements	142
14.3.6. Applicability of withholding provisions	143
14.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	144
14.5. Conclusion	144

Session 4	
Dividends, Interest and Beneficial Ownership	
<b>Chapter 15: Turkey: The Concept of Dividends</b>	149
<i>Hakan Uzeltiirk</i>	
15.1. Introduction	149
15.2. Facts of the case	150
15.3. The Council of State's decision	151
15.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	154
15.5. Conclusion	156
<b>Chapter 16: Czech Republic: Beneficial Ownership</b>	159
<i>Danuse Nerudova</i>	
16.1. Introduction	159
16.2. Facts of the case	159
16.3. The Court's decision	161
16.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	163
16.5. Conclusion	165
<b>Chapter 17: Denmark: Beneficial Owner – Article 10(2) of the Denmark-Luxembourg Income Tax Treaty of 1980</b>	167
<i>S0ren Friis Hansen</i>	
17.1. The case	167
17.2. Facts of the case	167
17.2.1. Factual background and the legal base	167
17.2.2. Procedure before the Eastern High Court	<b>168</b>
17.3. The Court's decision	170
17.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	171
17.5. Conclusion	172
<b>Chapter 18: Switzerland: Notion of Beneficial Ownership</b>	173
<i>Claudia Suter</i>	
18.1. Introduction	173
18.2. Facts of the case	173
18.3. The Court's decision	174
18.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	177
18.5. Conclusion	<b>180</b>

Session 5  
Royalties and Labour Income

<b>Chapter 19: Portugal: The Meaning of Royalties and Payments for Software</b>	<b>185</b>
<i>Jodo Felix Pinto Nogueira</i>	
19.1. Introduction	185
19.2. Facts of the case	<b>186</b>
<sup>^</sup> 19.2.1. Fact pattern	<b>186</b>
19.2.2. Applicable law	187
19.2.3. Position of the tax authorities	189
19.2.4. Position of the Portuguese company	190
19.2.5. Decision of the lower court	191
19.2.6. Position of the public prosecutor before the Supreme Court	191
19.3. The Court's decision	192
19.3.1. Overview	192
19.3.2. Legal value of bilateral treaties, models and commentaries	192
19.3.3. Domestic legal framework applicable to the income	194
19.3.4. The treaty framework of the income	194
19.3.4.1. Non-applicability of the reservation	194
19.3.4.2. Concept of royalties before 1992	194
19.3.4.3. Concept of royalties in the Commentary to the OECD Model	195
19.3.5. Between reservations and observations	196
19.3.6. The Court's decision	196
19.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	197
19.4.1. Overview	197
19.4.2. Comments on the Court's <i>obiter dicta</i>	197
19.4.3. Sequence of the Court's reasoning	199
19.4.4. Legal value of the 1992 reservation in itself	199
19.4.5., Scope of the treaty definition of income and its applicability in the case of software	201
19.4.6. Between a reservation and a observation	<b>206</b>
19.5. Conclusion	209



<b>Chapter 20: Australia: The Meaning of Royalty and Software License Agreement</b>	<b>213</b>
<i>Graeme Cooper</i>	
20.1. Introduction	213
20.2. Facts of the case	214
20.3. The Court's decision	218
20.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	219
20.5. Conclusion	222
	<i>i</i>
<b>Chapter 21: United States: Professional Golfer – The Classification of Endorsement Fees</b>	<b>225</b>
<i>Yariv Brauner</i>	
21.1. Introduction	225
21.2. Facts of the case	225
21.3. The Court's decision	226
21.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	229
21.5. Conclusion	230
<b>Chapter 22: Netherlands: Exit Taxation and Pensions: Tax Treaty Override?</b>	<b>231</b>
<i>Eric C.C.M. Kemmeren</i>	
22.1. Introduction	231
22.2. Facts of the case	232
22.3. The Court's decision	232
22.3.1. National tax law	232
22.3.2. Tax treaty law	233
22.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	236
22.4.1. Ruling is inconsistent with rationale and structure of tax treaties	236
22.4.2. Tax treaty partner's knowledge on introduction of protective tax assessment not relevant for determination of (tax) treaty override	239
22.4.3. 2001 Exit tax on pensions is always inconsistent with provisions similar to article 18 of the OECD Model	241
22.4.4. 2009 Exit tax on pensions is always inconsistent with provisions similar to article 18 of the OECD Model	243

22.4.5. Whether exit tax on pensions is always inconsistent with treaty provisions if pension claims have been placed outside non-EU/EEA Member States?	246
22.4.6. Exit tax on pensions does not add value and can be repealed	247
22.4.7. Potential impact on other jurisdictions	249
22.5. Conclusion	250

## Session 6

### ■ Avoidance of Double Taxation and Mutual Assistance

<b>Chapter 23: Finland: The Effect of Losses on the Foreign Tax Credit</b>	<b>255</b>
<i>Marjaana Helminen</i>	
23.1. Introduction	255
23.2. Facts of the case	255
23.3. The Court's decision	256
23.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	257
23.4.1. Finland-United States tax treaty	257
23.4.2. Relevance of EU law	260
23.5. Conclusion	264
<b>Chapter 24: France: Lump Sum Tax Credit – An Exemption of Income or a Tax Credit Technique?</b>	<b>265</b>
<i>Marilyne Sadowsky</i>	
24.1. Introduction	265
24.1.1. Notions of tax credit	265
24.1.2. Issues with respect to tax treaties	266
24.2. Facts of the case	268
24.3. The Court's decision	269
24.3.1. Treaty principles	270
24.3.2., Treaty interpretation	270
24.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	270
24.4.1. Affirmation of a literal interpretation	271
24.4.1.1. Implementation of a lump sum tax credit	272
24.4.1.2. Potential impact of the Court's decision for other jurisdictions	273
24.5. Conclusion	273

<b>Chapter 25: Netherlands: Interest Income and the Impact of an Exchange Loss on the Calculation of the Tax Credit</b>	<b>275</b>
<i>Daniel S. Smit</i>	
25.1. Introduction	275
25.2. Facts of the case	276
25.3. The Courts' decisions	278
25.3.1. Decision of the Law Court of Haarlem dated 27 March 2008	278
25.3.2. Decision of the Court of Appeal of Amsterdam dated 26 November 2009	280
25.3.3. Opinion of the Advocate General dated 10 December 2010	282
25.3.4. Dutch Supreme Court Decision 17 June 2011, BNB 2012/23	283
25.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	283
25.5. Conclusion	287
<b>Chapter 26: South Africa: Exchange of Information under an Income Tax Treaty</b>	<b>289</b>
<i>Jennifer Roeleveld and Craig West</i>	
26.1. Introduction	289
26.2. Facts of the case	289
26.3. The Court's decision	291
26.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	292
26.5. Conclusion	293
<b>Chapter 27: United States: Exchange of Information and Taxpayer Protection</b>	<b>295</b>
<i>Yariv Brauner</i>	
27.1. Introduction	295
27.2. Facts of the case	295
27.3. The Court's decision	296
27.4. Comments on the Court's decision	298
27.5. Conclusion	299

Session 7  
Non-Discrimination

<b>Chapter 28: Russia: Thin Capitalization Rules and Non-Discrimination Clause</b>	<b>303</b>
<i>Danil V. Vinnitskiy</i>	
28.1. Introduction	303
28.2. Facts of the case	306
28.3. The Court's decision	309
28.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	311
28.4.1. Thin capitalization rules and the arm's length standard	312
28.4.2. Criteria of comparability of tax treatment and a non-discrimination clause	313
28.4.3. General anti-avoidance provision	316
28.5. Conclusion	317
 <b>Chapter 29: Spain: Thin Capitalization Rules in Light of Spanish Double Tax Conventions</b>	 <b>319</b>
<i>Jose Manuel Almudi Cid</i>	
29.1. Introduction	319
29.2. Facts of the case	320
29.3. The Court's decisions and comments thereon	321
29.4. Conclusion	325
 <b>Chapter 30: Sweden: Non-Deductibility of Interest Payments</b>	 <b>329</b>
<i>Bertil Wiman</i>	
30.1. Introduction	329
30.2. Facts of the case	329
30.3. The Court's decision	331
30.3.1., Generally	331
30.3.2. Public statement by the Swedish Tax Agency	332
30.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	334
30.5. Conclusion	336
 <b>Chapter 31: Canada: Transfer of Losses</b>	 <b>339</b>
<i>Jacques Sasseville</i>	
31.1. Introduction	339

Table of Contents

31.2. Facts of the case	339
31.3. The Courts' decisions	341
31.3.1. Tax Court of Canada	341
31.3.2. Federal Court of Appeal	346
31.4. Comments on the Courts' reasoning	346
31.5. Conclusion	348
<b>Chapter 32: United Kingdom: Group Relief</b>	<b>351</b>
<i>Philip Baker</i>	
	<i>t</i>
32.1. <i>FCE Bank Pic v. HMRC</i>	351
32.1.1. Facts of the case	351
32.1.2. The Court's decision	353
32.1.3. Comments on the Court's reasoning	353
32.2. <i>Felixstowe Dock and Railway Company v. Revenue and     Customs Commissioners</i>	354
32.2.1. Introduction	354
32.2.2. Facts of the case	355
<b>Chapter 33: Germany: Cross-Border Group Consolidation</b>	<b>357</b>
<i>Alexander Rust</i>	
33.1. Introduction	357
33.2. Facts of the case	358
33.3. The Court's decision	359
33.4. Comments on the Court's reasoning	362
33.5. Conclusions	363
<b>List of Contributors</b>	<b>365</b>